- 1 MINUTES FOR THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE CHESTER TOWNSHIP BOARD
- 2 OF ZONING APPEALS HELD MONDAY 10 JANUARY 2022, IN THE TOWN HALL
- 3 MEETING ROOM
- 4 Mr. Barton Ziganti called the February 14, 2022, Chester Township Board of Zoning
- 5 Appeals meeting to order at 7:15 pm.
- 6 Mr. Ziganti requested that Board members and audience stand and recite the Pledge of
- 7 Allegiance.
- 8 Mr. Ziganti: I would also to request that all adults that are present have signed in at the
- 9 lectern with their name and address.
- Mr. Ziganti: Will the Secretary please call the roll.
- Secretary: Mrs. Fadorsen/present; Ms. Klemm/present; Vice-Chairman Pona/present;
- Mrs. Sritalapat/present; Mr. Wittine/ present; Chairman Ziganti/present. Galina
- 13 Berglund, Zoning Inspector, was also present.
- Mr. Ziganti: I would like to start this meeting with recognizing Cynthia Kovach, she has
- been our Board of Zoning Appeals secretary for a number of years and she will be
- finishing up this evening with being present at our meetings and with that Kathleen
- McCarthy will be taking over in the near future with responsibilities as secretary for the
- 18 Chester Township Zoning Coming Commission and the Board of Zoning Appeals. With
- that, I just wanted to make that announcement and let you know that we are very
- 20 grateful for your service to the community for all these years.
- 21 Secretary: I am also grateful for your patience. It has been fun.

22

- 23 Mr. Ziganti: This evening we are going to have two appeals in front of us: Appeal 2022-
- 24 01 and 2022-03. We had another appeal that has been delayed to next month and that
- will explain why the variances are out of order. We will probably see the third variance
- 26 next month. We don't have any requests in front of us for a continuance and with that
- 27 what I would like to do is take a couple minutes and present the purpose and
- procedures of the Board of Zoning Appeals for some of you who might be here for the
- 29 first time.
- 30 Mr. Ziganti: I will begin with giving you a background on the purpose and procedures of
- the Board of Zoning Appeals. We are a quasi-judicial board chartered to hear the case
- of an individual or organization seeking a conditional use certificate or the citizen
- wanting to establish, relocate or grow a business, or the citizen with a request to build
- on or alter their property in the Township any of which conflicts with the zoning

- requirements of our community. The members of the board measure the request using 35 the information provided by the applicant as well as additional information the applicant 36 presents at the meeting. The Board of Zoning Appeals is especially required to focus on 37 the responses the applicant gives in the Notice of Appeal, either Form #4 or #5, and the 38 questions that they answer on page 3, known as the standards. For example, the 39 responses are used to establish a practical difficulty in the case of an area variance. 40 Only if a practical difficulty exists is the Board of Zoning Appeals charged with granting 41 an area variance. For a variance or conditional use to be granted it requires the 42 affirmative vote of three Board members. If the request is rejected the applicant can 43 appeal to the Township Trustees. The specifics in hearing an appeal are: first, we will 44 45 swear in any person who will be offering testimony in the case as well as members of the audience wishing to guestion the applicant at that given time during the meeting. 46 Next, the applicant is asked to present the information that supports their request for a 47 conditional use or variance. After the presentation, the individual Board members may 48 ask the applicant for further information or to clarify that which was presented. 49 50 Following, any sworn audience members may guestion the applicant on the matter of 51 the appeal and specific only to the appeal. The Board does not have the time or the authority to handle unrelated matters. The applicant is asked to respond to the 52 questions posed to them by the audience. Last, the Board may wish to follow up with 53 54 further questions of the applicant. This will conclude the public presentation portion of the appeal. The Board will then deliberate on the specifics of the case later in the 55 evening and hold a vote on the appeal. The applicant is free to contact the Zoning 56 Inspector tomorrow morning to get this result. Please know that if the applicant's 57 request is granted they cannot move forward with their plan until the next monthly 58 59 meeting of the Board of Zoning Appeals when the minutes from that meeting and findings of facts are approved by the Board members. That again is the purpose and 60 procedure of our Board here. 61
- 62 Mr. Ziganti: Our first appeal is going to be 2022-01, Nicolas Berardinelli. They are
- asking for a variance for a smaller than allowed for frontage which is associated with a
- request for a lot split. I will begin by asking the Zoning Inspector to fill us in on the
- specifics of this case and why we have an appeal in front of us.
- Zoning Inspector: The occupant is requesting an area variance for his property located
- at 12380 Chillicothe Road. The applicant is seeking an area variance for the lot split
- from Section 5.01.17 of the Chester Township Zoning Resolution. That section sets out
- the dimensional requirements in our district is 150' lot width and frontage should be 150
- feet and in this case width and frontage on Sherman Road frontage is 109.09 feet.
- 71 Applicant is seeking a 27% area variance.

- Mr. Ziganti: We can now have the presentation by Mr. Berardinelli. Any audience
- members who may wish to question the applicant please stand and be sworn in.
- Mr. Pona: Anyone who wants to be heard on this case needs to stand and raise your
- right hand. Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth under
- penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of Ohio. Thank you and you may all be
- 77 seated.
- Nicolas Berardinelli: I currently live at 12380 Chillicothe Road and I want to split this lot
- to be on Sherman Road. My parents right to the west and I'm close to them where I'm at
- now, but I would like to be a little closer and actually build something a little smaller than
- what I'm in currently so. When I filled out the application it was denied for the frontage
- and I'm here for that. I'm not asking to build a home in the area that is 109 feet. What
- happens at that one point there, I think it's 163 feet deep, and it opens up to 253 feet
- wide. My proposed location is to be in the line somewhat with my parents and they are
- at about 185 and I'm looking at being between 190 and 200 feet off the edge of the
- road. At that point the lot becomes 253 feet wide. I think that's about it. I think I would
- have maybe 77 feet from the west line and 108.6 feet from the east line and 121.41 feet
- from the rear line which would be the south line at that point. That's all I'm asking to do.
- Mrs. Sritalapat: Are you looking to split the lot into two plots or three?
- 90 Mr. Berardinelli: Eventually it would be three total with my house. There's one I could
- make on Chillicothe Road. That one is a little over 1.5 acres and the frontage is 150 feet
- wide. The one that is on Sherman that would be the issue I guess.
- 93 Mr. Ziganti: To clarify, we have in front of us a variance request to allow for access to
- this large piece of property Sherman Road. What is in the Zoning Resolution is where
- you have to require 150 feet frontage for a given lot. So it is 109 feet in front of us right
- now. This is about a 27% area variance request. However, what that means is for that
- driveway to go in, you are planning on building a home to which that driveway connects.
- You are looking at doing a lot split when?
- 99 Mr. Berardinelli: Whenever I get the variance, then as soon as I can. In my mind I'm
- trying to figure out where this process begins. If this is passed, then you are able to go
- forward with the lot split. I spoke with Linda Crombie, the Planning Director, and that is
- what she told me. In this case, I would have to get the variance before they can help me
- do anything else that needs to be done.
- Mr. Ziganti: The Board is considering that indeed the purpose of this variance is to go
- forward with your proposal to cut this large block into three different properties and one
- of the properties will have the existing home that is on Chillicothe Road. Do you own

- that home? That is your home? And then you're thinking that if you get this lot split you
- would build a new home.
- Mr. Berardinelli: Right and my daughter wants the one that I'm in now.
- Mr. Ziganti: Just for the audience members and just to help you out. Basically the
- property, that large block, would be sectioned out with lines horizontally into three
- different pieces referring to the overhead display. One, indicated there by Galina, and
- then another on the top, one in the middle and one on the bottom.
- Mr. Berardinelli: Right. The one on Sherman is 1.5 acres which is the requirement and
- the one on Chillicothe would be 1.5 acres and then the house that I'm in ends up being
- 2.2 once all the splits are done. 2.14 once all the splits are done.
- Mr. Ziganti: For my fellow Board members, those include property that are part of the
- right of way that is on Chillicothe Road and then if you take that out of the equation, it's
- a little over 1.5 for the middle section and about 1.97 acres for the proposed property
- that has the existing house. Questions from the Board?
- Ms. Klemm: 1.638 is what you estimate for the middle lot?
- 122 Mr. Ziganti: 1.5 acres.
- 123 Mrs. Fadorsen: You don't have any plans to build on the middle one?
- 124 Mr. Berardinelli: No.
- 125 Mrs. Fadorsen: That is your parents' house?
- Mr. Berardinelli: Right, that is my parents' house on Sherman and then to the north of
- me on Chillicothe is my brother. I would actually be right in the middle of my brother and
- my parents if I am able to get this variance on Sherman Road.
- Mr. Pona: I have a question. To the east of your property off Sherman, that is owned by
- a separate individual. Is that correct?
- 131 Mr. Berardinelli: Yes, that is Kolsom Tires.
- Mr. Pona: Is there a likelihood, and I maybe I am addressing this to our Zoning
- Inspector, is there a possibility that if we grant this variance that the lot split may not be
- 134 approved?
- Zoning Inspector: Not according to Linda Crombie. What she told me is that all the lots
- in this area are about 1.5 acre. Whatever the probability of splitting this 5 plus acre lot
- would be in character of the neighborhood. Also there is no way for the owner of the
- property to get anywhere to buy any more property from this lot from here because it

- only has 1.5 acre and there is no more land to sell to be within 1.5 acres and the same
- thing on the other side. That would not be good if not a straight line. That was what
- 141 Linda said.
- Mr. Pona: My one concern as a member of this Board is that we are being asked to
- 143 grant a variance on the if come that the lot will be permitted to be split. Am I the only
- one that has that concern?
- Mr. Ziganti: For instance, my understanding is that a residential property is supposed to
- have one driveway access. So this purpose of granting a diminished frontage is to allow
- for another driveway to be put in and then perhaps a lot split to go forward.
- 148 Mr. Ziganti: Right now we're in the section of the meeting where we do not take
- 149 questions from the audience. Other questions from the Board?
- Mr. Pona: Mr. Chair, correct me if I'm wrong. We cannot grant a variance contingent
- upon this lot split or can we?
- Mr. Ziganti: We are granting a variance based upon a "what if." That is my opinion.
- 153 Mr. Berardinelli: The "what if" from the county?
- Mr. Ziganti: In the past this Board has considered one lot split and it did not involve an
- issue like frontage. It was just allowing for a lot split, . It came before the Board to okay
- that lot split. That is my experience. Right now, instead of discussing a lot split, what
- we're doing is a discussion allowing for a certain frontage that doesn't meet zoning
- requirements to get that variance. We assume this purpose is for putting in a driveway
- to a new home. But a new home can't be placed on that big block of property, which
- looks exactly like Geauga County. You can't put another house on there unless there is
- some property split off. It's the cart before the horse.
- Mr. Berardinelli: Actually when I started out with Geauga County and I spoke with Linda.
- I emailed her what was in question. Then she emailed me back and what she is saying
- is in order for me to move forward with the County I need a variance from the Township.
- 165 I have that email here.
- Mr. Pona: Can we see that email here, please.
- Mr. Berardinelli: Then she directs me as to what to do with the Health Department and
- all that for septic and soil.
- Ms. Klemm: The lot doesn't have to be split in exactly that way.

- Mr. Ziganti: No, it can be split in half and then you could have access off of Chillicothe
- 171 Road because the proposed lot split shows that middle section would be 150 feet which
- doesn't need any variance to put in the drive.
- 173 Ms. Klemm: That was my question. If we grant the variance and for some reason the
- 174 County says oh no, we can't do that. Obviously, we have to split the lot at least once for
- the residence but you have so much acreage there it can be issued, but then you
- decided on the 1.9 or 1.51 because it is in character with the neighborhood. Eventually,
- this center lot could be sold and somebody could build on it, because the variance goes
- 178 forever.
- Mr. Berardinelli: But I don't need one for Chillicothe Road.
- 180 Ms. Klemm: I know, but once the lot split is done. That's all.
- Mr. Ziganti: Other questions? In the meantime we will open the meeting to the public.
- Margaret Muehling: I reside at 993 Sherman Road. I have in front of me a flow chart
- which is the flow chart that is used for lot splits and I believe Mr. Berardinelli received
- some information that is not quite accurate.
- Mr. Ziganti: I was looking for such information. May we make a copy of this so that it can
- go in front of the Board.
- Mrs. Muehling: While Bart is looking at that, it shows the steps that you go to get a land
- split which has nothing to do with the BZA, it has to do with the County subdivision
- regulations. And there are steps 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, that go to and there are 1, 2, 3, 4 that
- he goes through before he comes to the Zoning Inspector. I don't have it memorized but
- 191 Bart is going to. Number 1 is an accurate and current legal description approved by the
- 192 County tax map department. This is to make a lot split. Second is a survey map
- approved by the County tax map department. Three, the County health department
- report showing whether the lot can support a septic tank. Then it goes to compliance
- with zoning after that so you are quite right those of you who say this has got the cart
- before the horse. You're quoting numbers there and in effect no matter how he splits, if
- he splits with that 109 foot front he is presenting to you a lot which is a nonconforming
- lot. That is why he has to come to you because one of the lots is going to be
- nonconforming. You quoted some figured and I understand from them that those other
- two lots would be conforming to all our necessary things. You are in a position of
- looking at lots which have not been split so I don't think it's ready to come before you.
- He doesn't have to make the entire split that have been looking at to my knowledge but
- he does have to make the split with the 109 foot frontage and you are looking at a
- noncompliance lot. If you decide that you will grant that variance then you are granting a

- 205 noncompliant lot with the right to build it changes to a buildable lot. Up to that point and
- until you grant the variance it remains a noncompliant lot. Have I cleared anything up?
- 207 Mr. Pona: Yes.
- Mrs. Muehling: Basically it goes through four steps before it gets to you.
- Mr. Pona: I think what you're saying is consistent with this email that we're looking at,
- because the email references all these steps.
- 211 Mrs. Muehling: But they have to come first. I don't think it says that, does it?
- Mr. Berardinelli: The County comes first if I don't need a variance. So on Chillicothe
- 213 Road, like we're talking about that 1.5 acres, I go to them first, but until I would be able
- 214 to get a variance for Sherman Road that has the 109 frontage they can't move any
- 215 further unless I have a variance.
- Mrs. Muehling: Those departments do not care anything about Township zoning. They
- look at the land split. Their last step is to check with the Zoning Inspector and then they
- give approval. They will split the lot anyhow, they don't care, because it is different for
- 219 different townships. The Township zoning approval or nonapproval is step number 4,
- 220 not 1, 2, 3. You could find yourself in a position of approving this lot split and then
- 221 finding that the Health Department says that it cannot sustain a septic tank. That would
- 222 not be a good position to be in. Personally I would be nervous unless the BZA had in its
- files numbers 2, 3, and 4, which are an accurate and current legal description, a survey
- map and approval of the Health Department. Once you have those in your file then you
- can determine whether you want to grant the variance. Reason being that once you do
- a Findings of Facts and your minutes are approved, if anybody should challenge your
- decision and it goes to court we would look negligent if we had looked at those ahead of
- time I think. Experience has taught me that.
- Mr. Ziganti: Are there any sworn in members of the audience who wish to take this time
- 230 to question the applicant?
- Linda Gifford: The problem that I have is that this shows back and then I think Galina
- has this in her office. Why did you do this instead of going straight back? If this is
- 233 Chillicothe Road and this is ****
- Mr. Berardinelli: It was to achieve the amount of acreage.
- 235 Mrs. Gifford: Then why is there an angle instead of straight back is what I'm asking.
- 236 Mr. Berardinelli: Because if it goes straight back then this one wouldn't have enough.

- Eric Wittine: 9371 Wilson Mills Road. I have a question for the appellant. Can you just
- walk me through why you selected to split into three instead of two? I ask this question
- within the context of some things that were already expressed such as approving the
- septic and things like that.
- Mr. Berardinelli: Well, I do have more kids so we just wanted to keep the corners close
- to our family and with our children. We are at four. I have a sister that might want to
- 243 move out this way and she can take Chillicothe Road. It would be more for family this is
- 244 not for profit to build homes and sell them.
- Mr. Wittine: My personal perspective that is the underlying point of the question is it
- does impact the density and it does put a strain on both well and septic for the area.
- Mr. Berardinelli: I'm told that I needed 1.5 acres in that area for a septic. The Health
- Department told me that they need to do their soil tests and all that and septics today
- are designed for various sized lots and they will find one that will work as long as the
- soil will accept it.
- Mr. Wittine: May I ask a follow up question. So if you had an opportunity to do those
- 252 tests.
- Mr. Berardinelli: I can't. I mean they want a variance before they do anything else
- 254 further.
- Mrs. Fadorsen: They come highly recommended and they want the variance before
- 256 they do anything else, in case it was denied. I think they're talking about saving you
- 257 money.
- 258 Mr. Ziganti: Yes ma'am.
- Mrs. Muehling: I want Mr. Berardinelli to know that this has nothing to do with him
- personally. This is for his protection, as well as for the protection of the township. He
- would be in a very awkward position if he were to grant a variance that could not be
- 262 pinned down by specific regulations.
- Mr. Berardinelli: I think if I was asking, if that 109 and it went back to however you would
- make an acre and a half, 500, 550, and I'm asking for a variance that is 109 feet all the
- 265 way back, I can see that being problematic. But, like I said, where the placement of the
- 266 home would be it opens to 250, 186.47, by 255.60. And even so I get the setback in our
- one residential 70 feet off the edge of the road. I'm not sure. I'm not asking to build 70
- feet off the road where it's narrow. I'm asking to be in line with the home to the west
- where I think there is ample room around that dwelling.
- 270 Mr. Ziganti: Other questions from sworn in audience members to the applicant?

- Mrs. Muehling: Do you have a drawing with specific dimensions on it?
- 272 Mr. Ziganti: We have in the file a surveyor's drawing that has a stamp and shows the
- 273 proposed lot split.
- 274 Mrs. Fadorsen: I have two copies.
- 275 Mr. Ziganti: We can pass that around. Are we done with questions from the audience
- 276 members?
- Ms. Klemm: It just appears that the County has put Mr. Berardinelli in a position where
- 278 he's being asked to get this variance first before he jumps through these other hoops.
- 279 It's not a requirement, it's a recommendation. It might be possible for him to go ahead
- and go individually to the Health Department if we could maybe assist him with some
- concrete information to do that. Mr. Berardinelli, have you gotten a resurvey or an
- approved tax map or any of those other things?
- Mr. Berardinelli: No, I was under the impression that when I had these surveys done
- that they were approved and just planned to get
- 285 Mr. Klemm: When were the surveys done?
- Mr. Berardinelli: They were done in Ohio in 2007.
- Mr. Pona: Mr. Chair, I think it behooves both the applicant and the BZA to continue this
- matter to get a legal opinion from our prosecutor as to the order of the steps necessary
- to grant this request or to determine if we can grant this variance contingent upon a lot
- 290 split.
- 291 Mr. Ziganti: The latter I don't believe is allowed.
- Mr. Pona: So we can't make it contingent upon the lot split?
- Mr. Ziganti: I am in total agreement that in my opinion this is putting the cart before the
- 294 horse and I think one of the main concerns as came up in the discussion has to be the
- approval from the County Health Department relevant to the septic. I think that could be
- one of the major issues. As we know, granting a variance goes with the land forever. I
- would be inclined to have an opinion from the County Prosecutor or can this application
- be rejected "without prejudice" so that Mr. Berardinelli can come before us again? Or
- do we ask if the applicant would be willing to withdraw at present and then come back to
- us in the future. These are all options available.
- Mr. Pona: Or he could simply ask for a continuance which is probably the easiest thing

302 to do.

303	Ms. Klemm: That way he wouldn't have to pay the fee again.		
304 305	Mr. Ziganti: Same as without prejudice. Who is going to communicate with the county prosecutor?		
306	Mr. Pona: I think it would be you, as our liaison.		
307	Mr. Ziganti: So what do you Board members think?		
308 309 310 311 312 313 314	Mr. Ziganti: Sir, the Board members believe that it would be in your best interests and the Township's best interests if you ask for a continuance. What that means is we would put you on the schedule for next month's meeting to go forward with this variance request. In the meantime, you would be in touch with the Zoning Inspector who is well aware of the situation and you two will get an opinion or ask for an opinion from our County Prosecutor as to how we go forward and who starts the ball rolling so to speak. Is that an agreement?		
315	Mr. Berardinelli: Sure.		
316	Mr. Ziganti: So, ask for a continuance please.		
317	Mr. Berardinelli: Can I have a continuance?		
318 319	MOTION : Mrs. Fadorsen moved that Mr. Berardinelli's request for a continuance be granted. Ms. Klemm seconded. Vote unanimous. Motion passed.		
320 321 322 323 324	Mr. Ziganti: It looks like March 14 th will be our next meeting and hopefully we will have an answer from our County Prosecutor. Certainly, if not, the Board will be very willing at the next meeting if the Zoning Inspector indicates that we don't have an answer from the prosecutor will be willing to go forward with giving you another continuance to the next month. How's that?		
325	Mr. Berardinelli: Sure.		
326			
327 328 329 330	Mr. Ziganti: Next on the agenda is Appeal 2022-03, Howard Feuer/HLF Homes, Inc. or behalf of Property Owner of Record, Karen Kossman, seeking two area variances for building a proposed garage and we will begin with swearing in everyone who wishes to participate in that appeal.		
331 332	Mr. Pona administered the oath. Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth under penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of Ohio.		
333	Mr. Ziganti: To the Zoning Inspector, can you tell us about this variance?		

- Galina Berglund: In this case the applicant who is the general contractor is requesting
- two area variances for Karen Kossman. The applicant is seeking two area variances for
- the garage addition and the drive extension from Section 5.01.17 of the Chester
- Township Zoning Resolution. First area variance is dimensional requirements for R
- district, R3 district and R5 district. R5 district there are exceptions for lots 150 feet in
- width to require 15 feet each. This is an R5 district, 5 acres minimum, but this street is 1
- acre lots. There is an exception for lots less than 550 width and in her case her case it
- 100 feet. The property owner is requesting an area variance to add an addition to the
- garage, changing minimum size yard district from 16.1 feet to 5.3 feet. Because the side
- addition to the garage would be 10.8 feet wide. The second area variance is 5.01.06
- permitted building structures and uses in required yards. The Section F under driveways
- in accordance with the following regulations states the driveway shall be back a
- minimum of 10 feet from any side or rear lot line. For this property requesting an area
- variance to extend part of the driveway to be in a line with the new garage entrance will
- change the driveway setback in that particular area from 6.1 feet from the side lot line.
- The only question that I have that I found the driveway would be in line with the garage.
- The blueprints it is over so that part I am not sure. In my calculations I thought that the
- garage, that the driveway will be in line with the garage. The application is seeking 67%
- area variance from first section and 39% variance for the driveway.
- 353 Mr. Ziganti: My first question before we begin is Karen Kossman here and Howard
- Feuer? The first question is because we typically have a letter that indicates that Mr.
- Feuer can speak on your behalf. Will he be doing that today?
- 356 Mrs. Kossman: Yes.
- 357 Mr. Ziganti: You agree that is permissible?
- 358 Mr. Feuer: Yes and she is also going to speak.
- Mr. Ziganti: The next question is that typically we read into the record your application
- and the information that is provided there. We offer you the chance to waive the reading
- of that as long as you are in agreement with what has been submitted and is in the file.
- 362 Mr. Feuer: Yes, I agree.
- Mr. Ziganti: The reason why we ask that is because that is information that the Board
- members use to look at what is being asked of us tonight. With that, you have been
- sworn in and please begin with your presentation.
- Mr. Feuer: Obviously I am Howard Feuer and I live in Beachwood. Obviously we are
- looking for a larger variance than what just happened prior to us. This is something that

- she needs basically for what she has gone through in the last year and why she needs
- it. I can let her speak on that.
- Ms. Kossman: My name is Karen Kossman and I live at 12811 Kenyon Drive. Last
- January my ex-husband died of leukemia and he left his Grand Prix to my daughter. We
- met and our first date was at a wedding, he took me to the hospital to have my kids. It's
- been like a family member. While we were married we acquired my car, which is a 1972
- Grand Prix for a parts car. It was too nice to part out so I had my 72 at my residence.
- When my ex-husband passed away he left his to my daughter. She is in her last year of
- college and she intends on going to grad school. She did write you a letter stating the
- need for the garage space. Now my daily driver is a rear wheel drive. I have trouble
- getting out of my driveway from the garage. It sits out all year round. Today I could not
- get the door to close until I got to the bottom of Mayfield hill because it was frozen. I've
- waxed part of the backs of my tires getting stuck in the driveway. I've slid into sister's
- car and had to pay damages. It is a hardship to me. Next year I'll be 60 and my sister is
- 382 62 and we're out there trying to shovel out the cars because the plow can't get up to the
- two cars in the front of the driveway. I would very much appreciate being able to have
- garage space again. It would be very helpful to me.
- Mr. Ziganti: Would you like to make any presentation on the technical aspects?
- 386 Mr. Feuer: No, I mean you guys see what is there.
- Mr. Ziganti: We typically ask you to present that so we can go forward with questions.
- 388 Mr. Feuer: Like Galina said it's 5 foot 3 inches and it has to be 15 feet on the side. The
- only thing we're doing is on the driveway just extending to the garage doors. The
- addition itself is 10 feet 8 inches. Maybe you want to let the people have the letter that
- 391 she sent.
- Mr. Ziganti: Is that what you want to present? We already have it.
- 393 Mr. Feuer: I don't know if anybody wants to hear it? Is anyone interested?
- Mr. Ziganti: My first question to you then, sir, on the drawings that have been submitted,
- the architectural drawings. By the way these are A1, A2 and A3, separate from the
- surveyor's drawing. First issue that I see is the orientation of the house to the property
- line, if we look at the surveyor's drawing, the large sheet. You can see that what would
- be the eastern corner of the existing attached garage is actually 15.8 feet away from the
- property line so indeed the proposed addition would bring the addition to within 5 feet of
- 400 the south property line. Typically when we look at these structures, these situations we
- also take into account things like overhangs etc. of the proposed structure. So in
- actuality if this proposed garage was to be built you would be closer than 5 or 4 feet to

- the property line. That is something that we have to consider. Going to the architectural
- drawing that I first mentioned, A1. It shows that the concrete driveway is flaring out and
- coming even closer than 5 feet to the property line, A1.
- 406 Mr. Feuer: You have it going past it.
- 407 Mr. Ziganti: I'm showing what is drawn here.
- 408 Mr. Feuer: Here's the garage
- 409 Mr. Ziganti: Here's the existing drive and it's this curvature of the concrete.
- Mr. Feuer: This is like, I don't even think it's concrete on the side. This is asphalt. It's
- 411 already there.
- 412 Mr. Ziganti: What is already there?
- 413 Mr. Feuer: Asphalt.
- 414 Mr. Ziganti: What is the request for a driveway extension?
- Mr. Feuer: Like I said, the driveway is going to be 10 foot 8 inches is the building and
- we're just going to make sure that the concrete is going to be a foot on each side,
- 417 something.
- 418 Mr. Ziganti: What is a foot on each side?
- 419 Mr. Feuer: I'm just saying when the garage comes in this concrete should just be
- basically where the corner of this garage is.
- 421 Mr. Pona: So, not be additional concrete?
- 422 Mr. Ziganti: So, we actually have conflicting drawings. Just clarify for the Board. Do we
- 423 go by the site plan that is on A1 or do we go by the statement that it is just you're asking
- 424 to extend the driveway up to the corner of the proposed garage? Okay, that was my first
- question for clarification. Board members, please.
- 426 Mr. Pona: If that's the case, Galina, what is the distance between the proposed
- driveway and the side yard? I just want to make sure. I think I see a number here but I
- 428 just can't be sure.
- Galina Berglund: So the distance is 16 foot 1 inch and is going to be 5.3 from the side
- yard because the proposed addition is 10.8. So 16.1 minus 10.8 leaves us with 5.3 from
- 431 the side line.
- 432 Mr. Pona: Galina, I have a question.

- 433 Mr. Ziganti: Right now we're looking at the garage addition drawing that was included in
- 434 a December 21, 2021 email.
- 435 Mr. Feuer: I was showing on that December 20th the garage with the concrete going up
- 436 to the corner of the house.
- 437 Mr. Pona: Galina indicated this was 5 foot 3 inches but this says 6 foot 10 inches.
- 438 Mr. Wittine: I don't know why she said 5 foot 3 inches because I see over here it's 6 foot
- 439 6 inches.
- 440 Mr. Ziganti: She said 5 foot 3 inches from the property line.
- Mr. Pona: Yes, they were doing that from the property line.
- 442 Mr. Ziganti: But the drawing is saying different.
- Mr. Pona: But you have this, this is the one you were looking at and it's on the 20th.
- Mr. Ziganti: Okay, here's the drawing dated the 20^{th.} Do you see 6 foot 10 inches. This
- is the property line, P.L. and it is 6 foot 10 inches. And we know that the distance
- between the proposed garage and the property line will be 5.3 so we need to correct the
- drawings. Whatever dimension you're asking for is what we are considering. Just for the
- audible record we are looking at correcting some dimensions on the supplied
- 449 architectural drawings.
- 450 Galina Berglund: This is the survey that was done and according to the survey it is 16.1
- which is here. The garage extension ought to be 10.8 which leaves us with 5.3.
- 452 Mr. Ziganti: That is not the discussion. The discussion is it is showing 6 foot 6 inches
- 453 from the property line. It is showing 6 foot 10 from the property line to the proposed
- concrete driveway. So what dimensions are we considering here? That is the question.
- We need to change this. Then we will have this initialed. That is 5.3 or 5 feet 3 inches?
- Initial here and date it please. Any other questions from the Board before I open it up to
- the audience?
- 458 Mrs. Sritalapat: Yes, I have a question. Do you happen to have any other options on
- where to store the cars such as a storage facility?
- 460 Mrs. Kossman: My daughter is in college and she has no means to pay a monthly bill
- and she is a little worried about the car being stored somewhere else if there would be a
- fire or ... Periodically I start it up and I wash it, I back it up and wax it. I take care of it as
- though it were my own because at one point it was my own. It's really not an option.
- Mr. Pona: The existing garage that is attached to the house. That is a two car garage?

- 465 Mrs. Kossman: Yes, it is.
- 466 Mr. Pona: Have you considered building deeper in the rear lot?
- 467 Mrs. Kossman: The property slopes dramatically and there is a stationery shed right
- behind it that cannot be moved because it's in the ground with pillars. We have pictures
- of that, I think that shows the grade of the land. It isn't a shed that you just buy and set
- on the ground and move somewhere else.
- 471 Mr. Ziganti: The attached garage is a two car garage. So what is the difficulty with
- putting two cars into the garage?
- 473 Mrs. Kossman: There are two cars in there. My 72 Grand Prix and her 71 Grand Prix
- which leaves my Challenger out in the weather.
- 475 Mr. Ziganti: Any other questions before I open it up to audience members that have
- been sworn in. Questions directed to the applicant please.
- 477 Mrs. Muehling: Did I understand you to say that you have two cars in storage in your
- 478 present garage?
- 479 Mrs. Kossman: That is correct and we drive them in the summertime.
- 480 Mrs. Muehling: I was looking at this and I drove by and it was with Chuck. I thought that
- she could possibly extend her garage to the rear. Not only would she not need a
- variance but that she would be in compliance. Kenyon Drive is like an acre street in a
- 483 five acre zone which means that you have to be a little bit careful. Did the Board receive
- a site plan for the whole drawing of the house and garage and shed? A site plan with
- everything, the whole house and all dimensions on it because as I look at this my
- understanding has changed a little bit. Believe me I am in sympathy with people who
- save things, but we have houses on a small lot here and a request for a 67% variance
- on a five acre lot and it is better to do some out of the box thinking than to grant such a
- 489 significant variance I would think. This is a self-imposed hardship. Two cars are already
- in the garage. The car she drives daily is parked out in front.
- 491 Mr. Ziganti: May I interrupt? Why don't you explain for some of the audience members
- who you are and your experience because typically the audience directs questions to
- the applicants. But you are giving us information based upon your many years of
- service to Chester Township. In case there are a few of us here who aren't familiar with
- how you were involved with zoning, please, can you give that to us?
- 496 Mrs. Muehling: Yes. I served for over 25 years as a member of the Zoning Commission
- in Chester Township and I'm not only very concerned that our residents be taken care
- of, but that the Township as an overall picture should not suffer. A lot of my experience

- has to do with lots that are nonconforming, like this one. We have to look not only at the 499 picture to see not only the lot but I looked at the lot and I thought where could she move 500 the garage. Where is the septic tank, where is the septic system, where is the 501 replacement? Would there be any runoff problem with a neighbor? Stuff like that that 502 you wouldn't normally think of. I don't want to take your time, but I saw that there was 503 not a runoff problem. I saw that there was not a significant drop off from the back of the 504 garage to the shed and it raised the question to me that if you went to the back and 505 increased the size of the garage to the back, would the shed even be necessary? 506 507 Because if you increased it going backwards, a double garage, that would be an increase of 22 feet for whatever depth you wanted. There is not a significant drop off 508 509 there. The significant drop off is where the contour lines are closer together. So the shed may not even be necessary. Perhaps it could be sold. I don't have answers, I just 510 have suggestions and questions. Another possibility would be to perhaps move it 511 farther. I don't know what the specs are, but I suspect that the minimum is 70 feet from 512 the right of way or 100 feet from the centerline, but I'm not sure. Maybe there is some 513 514 motion where it could be moved sideways, or if you took down the existing wall that 515 would pick up some feet and you could still extend but you would have a lesser variance. I think that you have to consider in a small situation on a lot like this how many 516 cars can be parked outside and in garages for storage. 517 Mr. Ziganti: Thank you. Any other questions? 518 Eric Wittine, 7193 Wilson Mills Road: I certainly can appreciate the sentimental value of 519 this and what you are trying to accomplish. Miss Margaret is a tough act to follow. 520 Mr. Ziganti: Give it a try. 521 Mr. Wittine: Everything that she said I found very interesting, but I feel that looking at the 522 back of this garage if there could be some creative solution. I've seen where there have 523 524 been garage doors on the back and then an extension out. I didn't think of removing the shed but actually that's not a bad idea. I am looking at these pictures here that were 525 526 provided, as well as the shed. The other question to think about is thinking about serviceability and by extending to what would be the south creates a choke point and so 527
- width of the driveway that is currently there, is that going to go from the roadway all the 531 way back? 532

528

529

530

Mr. Feuer: Here's the drive. 533

> 2/14/2022 Page 16

> if there is anything that has to be taken care of in the back then you're crossing over the

neighbor's line which leads to my next question. Is the neighbor to the south here?

William Beucler, 12821 Kenyon Drive: One thing that I have a question about is the

- Mr. Ziganti: Any other questions from audience members? Okay, with that I will bring it
- back to the Board. Board members, any other questions?
- Mrs. Muehling: When you consider this variance remember to consider the Duncan
- 537 Factors.
- Mr. Ziganti: Okay, that was on my discussion point here. With regards to what we call
- the standards or the Duncan Factors, I always look at these as far as how the applicant
- answers and your answer to #1 is that you feel that the zoning requirements
- unreasonably deprive you of a permitted use of the lot. That was your answer. And will
- the lot in question yield a reasonable return without the variance and you said no.
- Basically your property is used as a residence so you would certainly be able to
- continue living there if you didn't have this garage. You answered no that there isn't any
- beneficial use of the lot without the variance but again you would still be able to live
- there. One of the major factors to consider when you're requesting an area variance is
- looking at the practical difficulty. The practical difficulty has to do with how the house is
- situated on the land and then the reason or purpose that you're requesting the variance.
- So obviously on a piece of residential property your main purpose is to have a place to
- live and so we, the Board, have to look at whether or not you wanting to store another
- 551 historical vehicle is actually a practical difficulty. Now I am speaking for myself and I am
- listening to your testimony and you are indicating that this was a gift to a daughter who
- will be in college for a year. So in a year that practical situation that you consider is
- going to be eliminated because she will then be able to take her vehicle and go
- 555 elsewhere.
- Mrs. Kossman: No, she'll be out of college. She is going to grad school and so she will
- probably be overseas for at least four years. It's going to be quite awhile before she
- gets her own place. I also have my sister who lives with me and she could use a place
- out of the weather also because she is older than me.
- Mr. Ziganti: Anything else to present before the Board members will begin discussion
- amongst ourselves? Okay. That portion of the meeting is over with as in input from the
- audience. Do you, the appellant, have anything else that you wish to present?
- 563 Mrs. Kossman: I don't believe so.
- Mr. Ziganti: I'm just looking at the paperwork that we had for the file. I want to make
- sure that the dimensions are correct. No other questions, then thank you very much. We
- are going to deliberate on this and we will come to a vote.
- Mr. Ziganti: May I have a motion on Appeal 2022-03?
- MOTION: Mrs. Fadorsen moved to approve the variance. Mr. Pona seconded.

569 570 571 572 573 574 575	Mr. Pona: Again, we've all talked and we've referred to the factors that we are permitted to consider and I've got problems with three. The two requests here are substantial variance requests. Number two, I think this predicament can be obviated through some other method other than granting a variance. Number three, I consider adjoining property owners and I think there would be a substantial detriment given that we're going down to a 5 foot distance between this proposed building and the property line. I think that is a significant detriment to the adjoining property owner. For these reasons I can't support this request.
577 578 579 580 581 582 583	Mrs. Sritalapat: I am going to agree with you for the same reasons. I think the area, the way the houses are laid out, they are already rather close to each other. Those side yards, the area between the property line and where you can build, is not only to give breathing room but I think as someone mentioned, to provide things like run off. Think of that as buffer space around your property. And because you already do have a two car garage, it's not that there's not a place to put it. You already have vehicles in there, but it could be solved another way off site.
584	Ms. Klemm: I would be in agreement.
585	Mrs. Fadorsen: I am too.
586 587 588 589 590 591 592 593 594 595	Mr. Ziganti: I am in agreement with my Board members. I will stand on the fact that I do not think this is a practical difficulty. The encroachment on the neighbor's property is very direct and the size of the variance requests are very large and, last but not least, I think a member of the audience brought up the point that closing off access to the backyard of this individual's property might make it difficult for them to service things in the back of the property. I guess they have a septic system. I don't know, but things like that have to be considered in the future for having access to the back of the property. Any other thoughts from the Board members before we vote? Okay, call the roll please. VOTE: Mrs. Fadorsen/no; Ms. Klemm/no; Mr. Pona/no; Mrs. Sritalapat/no; Mr. Ziganti/no. Variance denied unanimous.
596	
597	FINDINGS OF FACT
598 599 600 601 602 603	Appeal 2022-03, Property Owner of Record Karen Kossman and Howard Feuer, General Contractor, were seeking two area variances from Section 501.17 and 501.06 for constructing a garage addition to an existing two car garage. Plans were presented for a 6 foot 8 inch addition to the south side of the residence to create a third carport area. That variance request was 67% and would have placed the exterior wall of the garage addition within 5 foot 3 inch of the south property line. As well, there was a

604 605	variance request for increasing the existing concrete drive in such a manner that it would extend the driveway to meet up with the southwest corner of the new proposed	
606	addition which would have been a variance request of approximately 50%. Concerns of	
607	the Board involved having the residence encroaching within approximately 5 feet 3	
608	inches of the southern property line. A Board member indicated that no practical	
609	difficulty was exhibited for requesting this proposed garage addition.	
610	Motion for Findings of Fact:	
611	MOTION: Mr. Pona moved to approve the Findings of Fact. Mrs. Fadorsen	
612	seconded.	
613 614	ROLL : Mrs. Fadorsen/yes; Ms. Klemm/yes; Mr. Pona/yes; Mrs. Sritalapat/yes; Mr. Ziganti/yes. Motion approved unanimous.	
615 616 617 618	Mr. Ziganti: You can contact the Zoning Inspector in the morning if you wish to have further discussion and to get the results of the meeting. Please be aware that our meeting minutes for this meeting won't be available until next meeting where we actually review them and vote to accept. Thank you very much.	
619		
620	MINUTES:	
621 622	The Board of Zoning Appeals then moved on to review the minutes from the 10 January 2022 meeting.	
623 624 625 626	Mr. Ziganti: We had a failure of our recorder again and so I took it upon myself to come up with that little synopsis there in the middle and then added in all of our votes on our motions and decisions on how we were going forward. Please take the time to review the synopsis.	
627 628	Mr. Pona moved to approve the minutes as presented. Ms. Klemm seconded. Roll was called and the minutes were approved as presented. Mrs. Sritalapat abstained.	
629		
630	NEW BUSINESS:	
631 632 633	Mr. Ziganti: What I would like to do is begin our new business by again giving you the opportunity to greet our newest secretary for the BZA and as well thank Cynthia for her service.	
634	Mr. Pona: Do you go by Kathleen or do you prefer something else?	

- Ms. McCarthy: Actually, Kathleen is my proper name but my nickname is Kitty and I go
- by Kitty. I recently retired from Tri-C where I was Director of the Automotive Technology
- Program. I've been doing many different things since I retired. We bought a house here
- four years ago to be closer to my daughter.
- 639 Mr. Ziganti: Your family was in front of us to seek an area variance.
- Ms. McCarthy: My daughter and son-in-law Terry, were in front of the BZA last month
- for an accessory building request.
- 642 Mr. Ziganti: You won't text me. I'm carrying the Star Trek flip phone still.
- Mrs. Fadorsen: I own the coffee shop in Chesterland, Coffee Works, and I've been in
- 644 Chesterland since 2006.
- Ms. McCarthy: What brought you here?
- 646 Mrs. Fadorsen: The coffee shop basically. We grew up in Mentor and we were out of
- the state for probably 25 or 30 years and we moved back in 2006 and moved here
- because we bought the coffee shop in Chesterland. So we moved Chesterland and we
- live off of Wellswood. In 2006 it was Arabica but Arabica never did anything for me, at
- all, except as much as texting me for years. So I stopped paying them, took the sign
- down. But they did nothing, not even a text or an email or anything so I said what am I
- doing this for. So I took their sign down and put the new sign up and here I am.
- Ms. Klemm: How long have you been on the Board?
- 654 Mrs. Fadorsen: Six years.
- Ms. Klemm: I'm Tina Klemm. I have lived in Chester Township since about 1987. I lived
- on Ranch Drive for a long time and then I moved to Rust Drive. I taught in the West
- 657 Geauga School District for 34 years.
- Ms. McCarthy: What grades?
- Ms. Klemm: I was an art teacher so I taught art for kindergarten through sixth grade and
- l'm recently retired from there and I substitute a lot. I was teaching a fifth grade class
- this morning. I'm part time working there and decided I wanted to give service to the
- Township. It's kind of a family tradition to be active in zoning and that kind of stuff for my
- family and so here I am. I really enjoy it. I've only been on the Board for a little over a
- 664 year.
- 665 Mrs. Sritalapat: My name is Deana Sritalapat and I've lived in Chester for about five
- years now but I grew up in Geauga County.

- Ms. McCarthy: Where in Geauga County?
- 668 Mrs. Sritalapat: My parents live in Montville so it's a little more rural, but I grew up out
- there and then I was out of state for a while. I lived in Miami for a while and Salt Lake
- 670 City for a while and came back here. I'm an architect and I have a little family, an eight
- 671 month old at home and a two year old.
- 672 I'm Eric Wittine: You can call whatever you want just not late for dinner. I've got
- 673 probably almost twenty years of experience in international business ranging from
- chemistry, engineering materials. I spent some time developing surgical tables and back
- to chemistry. We've been out here for nine years and we're out here because of the
- rural nature and lots of green scenery. That led me to become more active in our
- Trustee meetings and so I ran for Trustee this year and subsequently got asked to be a
- participant on the Board which I'm delighted to do. It's great to know everybody but I,
- too, look towards giving back to the community and this is a great way to do it.
- 680 Galina Berglund: I'm new here. I do not live in Chester Township, I live in Lyndhurst. My
- background is construction. I'm also marketing, sales and human resources. You name
- it I've probably done it. That's about it. I also have two dogs, two German Shepherds.
- 683 Mr. Pona: Chuck Pona, I'm an attorney. My wife and I moved here sixteen years ago.
- We came from Mayfield Heights. In Mayfield Heights I served on the Board of Zoning
- Appeals for a number of years. I served on City Council for a number of years. We have
- three children and I have been on this Board since 2016.
- Mr. Ziganti: Galina comes from the far east and I come from the far west, all the way on
- the west side of Cleveland. Anyway, west is the best and east is Anyway I love the
- snow and I'm retired. We moved here in 1988 because she wanted all of her daughters
- to go to Notre Dame Academy, an all-female school. As soon as we moved here they
- brought in the boys from Cathedral Latin. That's why our son went to St. Ignatius, just to
- 692 make things hard.
- 693 Ms. McCarthy: How long have you been sitting on the Board?
- 694 Mr. Ziganti: Seventeen years? I don't know. Anything you'd like to say, Cynthia?
- 695 Cynthia: Just that I have enjoyed working with all of you and I will miss you. You've just
- been great. Working here has been a delight. It's had its bumpy moments but all in all
- l've enjoyed it tremendously I hope l'Il see some, if not all, of you again. I've been
- 698 working for the Board for six years and I was retired. Well, actually I've tried retirement
- twice and it didn't take. So this is the third time and it's the charm.
- 700 Mr. Pona: Thank you for all your years of service.

- 701 Cynthia: You are more than welcome. It has been a pleasure.
- Mr. Ziganti: We actually have some other new business. I'm going to start with the
- easiest. We were given something by Mike Joyce who had his thoughts about what to
- do for the BZA going forward and I had asked him to do it. Considering that we've gone
- as long as we have, perhaps we can put this off until next month. I'm ready to discuss it
- now and I've lots of thoughts. It's very important that we look at this because we have
- had some problems with not having complete information in front of us and we need
- that. This not only addressed that but as well as putting away files for the record. You
- know what I'm speaking of, right. Do you want to discuss it now or next month?
- 710 Mr. Pona: Give us your thoughts.
- 711 Mr. Ziganti: We'll be here forever. Page 1. Basically, an overview here. A lot of this we
- already do and I think that some of the ideas in here, almost everything in here we do.
- 713 It's just taking on a different kind of format. For instance, on the first page, items 1
- through 10. These are the things that should be in front of the Board for every appeal.
- The very first sentence says use the existing comb binding machine for the Town Hall to
- bind and produce a bound copy for each and every member. This would be great but
- 717 then the practical difficulty we have is that we have large engineering drawings. Maybe
- that's what we consider for the final version, but then the problem is overfilling the filing
- cabinets. Now we're going to have these little rolls on them and it's going to take a lot of
- space. So I think the practical solution is to have one of those big staples that can staple
- right through all of the paperwork and that could be what then goes into the file. What is
- stated there I don't think is very practical. Number 2, that has a form name already and
- it is called the 7-CTA so we already do that. Number 5, published legal notice for the
- meeting. Also we have to indicate that it is to be displayed on the front window of the
- 725 Town Hall so that everyone who wants to know in the community can come up and see
- exactly what is on the agenda.
- 727 Mr. Wittine: I have a question. Maybe you just said it and I missed it. Posting on our
- website, as well.
- 729 Mr. Ziganti: My understanding is we do like the Trustees do and the Zoning
- Commission. On the website, we just have our calendar. Just an aside, that is what we
- the Board decided a couple years ago was the reason why we didn't have to publish an
- agenda in the newspaper because we looked at the Sunshine Laws and we considered
- the information given to us by the County Prosecutor. Mr. Pona can fill you in on that
- better than me. We made the decision that we could do just like everybody else in the
- 735 Township. Then the Trustees hammered us down. Right, Mr. Mazzurco? The Trustees,
- can figure out if that is a Zoning Secretary's job or Zoning Inspector's job to make sure
- 737 that the meeting agenda is published.

- Ms. McCarthy: So, the Zoning Commission is sending an agenda every two weeks to
- the residents that ask for that agenda. For instance, Linda Gifford gets the ZC agenda
- every two weeks automatically. I just send it to an Outlook group. It is very simple to
- post an agenda on the front window although I struggle with the antiquity of something
- like that and I am able to publish to the web.
- Mr. Ziganti: We can do that; however, this is a legal requirement. We're talking about
- 744 with the newspapers.
- 745 Ms. McCarthy: The agenda doesn't have to go, does it?
- Mr. Pona: So on March 17, 2020 our prosecutor responded to an inquiry of mine and I
- 747 will read it to you verbatim:
- "Pursuant to Ohio Revised Code Section 121.22 for regular meetings the Board
- is required to establish a reasonable method for alerting the public to the time
- and place of regular meetings. This has usually been interpreted to mean the
- time and date is publicized in a newspaper of general circulation, although most
- Boards also post it on the township website. For a special or emergency meeting,
- basically any meeting other than a regular meeting, the purpose (agenda) of the
- meeting also has to be included in the notice."
- So I think it's plain that the only time we need to publish our agenda is for a special or
- emergency meeting. This is directly from the Prosecutor.
- 757 Ms. McCarthy: And publicized means in the newspaper?
- 758 Mr. Pona: In the newspaper or on the website.
- Mr. Ziganti: So that was shared a couple of times with the Trustees and they indicated
- that they want it to be published in the newspaper. So we approached it from that
- opinion as well as from the standpoint that it costs us money every time we publish the
- darn thing and we, the Board of Zoning Appeals, are the only ones that are required to
- publish an agenda. We thought it was a logistical problem as well as an expensive
- problem to have but we are following the direction from our leadership.
- Ms. Klemm: My question to you is who is the webmaster? Who has access?
- 766 Ms. McCarthy: Mary Lou Florentine does that and I have the ability to do that.
- Ms. Klemm: So you could technically put public notices in the drop down or whatever on

the website. That is my question.

- Mr. Ziganti: I think that would be a great idea. So will you convey that to Mary Lou and
- our Trustees that we, the Board of Zoning Appeals, think it would be a great idea if our
- agenda was included on the website however that happens.
- 772 Ms. McCarthy: The public notices.
- 773 Mr. Ziganti: The agenda, the public notices.
- Ms. McCarthy: They are two different things.
- Mr. Ziganti: No, that is the addendum. The public notice is this. That is our agenda as
- well as the public notice. Cynthia will be able to show you that.
- 777 Cynthia: Kitty, they call that semantics.
- Ms. McCarthy: So, the BZA thinks that the agenda should be posted to the Township
- 779 website?
- 780 Mr. Ziganti: Or the public notice however you want. Agenda/Public Notice.
- Cynthia: The agenda goes to individuals and then there's a page, Township Meetings,
- in the paper.
- 783 Ms. McCarthy: There's a calendar on the website that says all the dates.
- Mr. Ziganti: In this matter for the BZA posting on March 14th it could have a little note
- that says please see the agenda. Indeed a public notice on the agenda could be a little
- bit different. Our agenda might have a little bit more detail in it. It's the idea that counts.
- 787 Ms. McCarthy: Can we finish that so I have it perfectly straight. So the BZA thinks that
- the agenda/public notice should be on the website. Do you want to put a time frame on
- 789 it. I'm not asking you to. Like 48 hours before our meeting or?
- 790 Mr. Ziganti: Zoning Inspector, you probably can answer this better because you
- sometimes get last minute stuff that isn't supposed to be in front of us but we, being the
- very gracious Board that we are, take in that information and so what time frame is our
- 793 BZA agenda in cement/concrete.
- Galina Berglund: We sometimes have, not always, but sometimes we have additional
- information to the case but the case is set in advance. So somebody might drop off, like
- it happened this time, just because the surveyor died and they had to start all over again
- to get through everything that they need for the meeting. I would say that I'm good to go
- a week before the meeting.
- Mr. Ziganti: Continuing, so on the second page, Mr. Joyce put in his thinking that 17
- days before the BZA meeting the Zoning Inspector is talking to the BZA secretary about

- what cases have been confirmed. Then it goes to 12 days the notice of our meeting is
- published in the newspaper. It sounds to me like everything has to be finalized 17 days
- 803 before.
- 804 Galina Berglund: So when is the publishing in the paper?
- 805 Mr. Ziganti: Ten days before the scheduled meeting.
- 806 Ms. Klemm: Postmarked eleven days is what it says on #4.
- Mr. Ziganti: That's where the notice is mailed out to the surrounding property owners.
- 808 So we can treat them special by having them get it one day ahead of time. So then the
- request is 10 days on the website.
- 810 Ms. McCarthy: 10 days prior to scheduled meeting.
- Mr. Ziganti: Right. Just for you to know, our scheduled meetings are almost always the
- second Monday of the month and the only change then based upon if a number of
- members can't make it or it falls on a very important holiday like Valentine's Day. Boy
- are you in trouble.
- 815 Mr. Mazzurco: I cooked already.
- 816 Cynthia: You're a man in a million, Joe.
- Mr. Ziganti: Anything else on the topic? Back to page 1, going backwards. Okay, I'm
- trying to figure out what I meant by this. Number 7, this is something I have asked for for
- a long time and that is the marking of exhibits. The system I came up with was
- surveyor's stuff should be an "S" and a letter can be an "L" and photographs can be a
- "P" and architectural drawings can be an "A" and so the idea is that on this audible
- recording, we the Board members can, when we make a reference of "on this drawing"
- we can say A-3 and then when we go through our minutes we know what we're talking
- about. Anyway, right there when he's talking about having a different numbering system
- for the applicant versus us, I don't really see a need for that. We're using letters and
- they're using numbers, because I think just labeling an exhibit as a certain type of
- exhibit like A1, means the first architectural drawing versus P1, referring to photographs.
- The appellant has to agree to and actually sign off any changes we make to the
- exhibits. Why would we have a different exhibit numbering system?
- 830 Mr. Pona: Bart, can I interject. I don't know if it fits here or not, but tonight was an
- example where the property owner was represented by someone else and you had
- asked her verbally if she approves this or authorizes that. We should probably get that
- in writing so that we have something in the record besides just your question of the

834 property owner.

- 635 Galina Berglund: When they fill out application I have to be sure that they have a letter
- or they have a contract. In this case they have a contract.
- Mr. Pona: Okay, so we just didn't get a copy of that but you have it.
- 838 Galina Berglund: It's here, it's actually here.
- 839 Mr. Ziganti: The reason why I asked is because I saw on the application there was a
- gentleman's name and the owner was different so it was just a matter of formality to ask
- the question.
- Mr. Pona: I think we have had that situation.
- Mr. Ziganti: We've had all situations. We could have a new form.
- Mr. Pona: So that is something that you always ask.
- 845 Galina Berglund: Yes, I always ask.
- Ms. McCarthy: So, do you have copies of that in your packet?
- 847 Galina Berglund: Yes it was presented.
- 848 Mr. Ziganti: My question is, is that crystal clear?
- 849 Mr. Pona: Is what crystal clear?
- 850 Mr. Ziganti: That sheet of paper.
- Mr. Pona: It was fine with me.
- Mr. Ziganti: I mean is that reasonable to show? All right, so let's see next down from
- that section 1, 2, 3 there, all that should be identified in the Zoning Inspector's letter for
- Addendum. I can't tell you how wonderful it is to have an addendum with your thoughts
- clearly spelled out. Way at the bottom that's where I talked about getting a big old
- stapler and putting things together.
- 857 Cynthia: We have one of those.
- 858 Mr. Ziganti: I bet we do.
- Ms. Klemm: I agree that the comb is a lot of extra plastic. I've worked with those as a
- teacher who had to put stuff in and take stuff out. If you have to add stuff at the last
- minute it's just better to have a 3 ring.
- Mr. Ziganti: Page 2, again Item 1 that big paragraph has to do with the timing for things
- and we, the Board, should be getting our packets 7 days ahead.

- Ms. McCarthy: Your minutes?
- 865 Mr. Ziganti: Yes.
- Ms. McCarthy: You mean the packets that you got today.
- Mr. Ziganti: The minutes as well as the cases because all that information is what we're
- supposed to have 7 days to review.
- Ms. McCarthy: So let's stay with that. How would it best be delivered to you? I'm not
- offering to do it but in your mailboxes? So it might be helpful to have an email that says I
- put it in your mailbox.
- Mr. Ziganti: On that, one of the issues in front of us right now is draft minutes. I sent the
- Trustees some information. I went through my old files here and found out that in 2019
- we had a discussion about draft minutes with our legal experts, we had two at the time,
- that would be Linda and Chuck. Both looked at this discussion and Ms. Betzer gave us
- a good description of what draft minutes are. Draft minutes are something that (if I can
- paraphrase) are a working document that is the property of the Board until we actually
- vote on those minutes for a final copy. Now we had an individual request draft minutes
- for our last January meeting and we didn't have any draft minutes because of the
- recorder failure so we couldn't supply those and in the past, getting back to what we
- discussed in the past, because we were concerned that our draft minutes aren't actually
- a good record of what was said because, as you know, many times we have ellipses
- and the dot dot dot and we don't know what was said. What four letter word was used
- by some of us and so we need to clarify those things taken from the audible record. So
- we, the Board, at that time said that the audible record is available until the final minutes
- are approved. That being said, we will not be distributing draft minutes via email.
- 887 Because if we don't distribute them by email that is because they are not available by
- 888 email.
- Ms. McCarthy: We haven't even created them. They're just draft minutes and
- 890 watermarked as such.
- Mr. Ziganti: Well, no. The secretary creates the draft from the audible record. But that
- draft hasn't met our approval yet so, therefore, they are a work in progress. That is how
- we got around this and so I sent that information to our Trustees. Not the discussions
- we had in 2019, but some other thoughts about the problem with draft minutes and so
- what are your thoughts? Shall we continue with that?
- Mrs. Fadorsen: I think so. I agree with your decision. I don't think we should supply the
- draft minutes. If they want the minutes beforehand they should go to the audible
- recording until we approve the final written minutes.

- 899 Mr. Ziganti: Okay. That was put to the Trustees and they said "please ask the County
- Prosecutor for her input on this." So I'm waiting for that response. So that's the story on
- or draft minutes and that is why we put them into mailboxes, a hard copy.
- 902 Ms. McCarthy: Also, we don't email draft minutes ever, not even as a PDF. Once you
- 903 email something it becomes public record.
- 904 Mr. Mazzurco: It doesn't have to be emailed to become public record.
- 905 Mr. Ziganti: Correct. So I went through the Ohio Sunshine Law information that was
- supplied to me by the Trustees and I sent a copy of that to Mr. Pona and it says may,
- not shall. Ergo it means that we are making a decision that we may not do it because it
- doesn't say we have to do it. It is in the Ohio Sunshine Laws. I have this booklet and I
- can find it. It basically says :may" instead of ""shall. So we the Board are going to stand
- on that position until the County Prosecutor gives us clear unambiguous information,
- which is not typical. We, the Board, can read English and we have been given
- information from the County Prosecutor and we have made decisions based on our
- ability to understand plain English and we think that we have made the right decisions
- and if we're wrong then it needs to be told to us in a clear fashion because in that book
- 915 it says "may" and not "shall".
- 916 Secretary: With the ORC and the Sunshine Laws what takes precedence?
- Mr. Ziganti: The ORC. It's right here. So that is what I conveyed to the Trustees and if
- they didn't answer that's okay. So that's the case with the draft minutes.
- Ms. McCarthy: So the draft minutes, when they are done, what I should do then is put it
- Word and then put it in mail slots and send an email saying that the draft minutes are in
- your mail slots? And may I then share the printed copy of the draft minutes with the
- 922 Trustees, if they ask me for it?
- 923 Mr. Pona: What is the question? Should you supply the draft minutes to the Trustees?
- 924 Ms. McCarthy: May I?
- 925 Mr. Pona: I wouldn't have an objection but whatever Bart says. They are the Trustees.
- 926 Ms. McCarthy: It's a ton of paper.
- 927 Mr. Ziganti: Upon request.
- 928 Ms. McCarthy: Yes.
- 929 Mr. Mazzurco: Just a thought it is work product, it is not file product so there may be a
- correction that the Board wants to make before so rather than propagate something that

- may not be correct or clear, you may want to hold back until we get clarification. Just a
- 932 thought.
- 933 Mr. Mazzurco: Right, so I would wait for a final product.
- 934 Ms. McCarthy: In Word you can publish something that has draft on it.
- 935 Mr. Pona: I know I would be upset if I was a Township Trustee and I requested a draft
- copy. Somebody tells me I can't have them. I would have a problem with that. As an
- official of the Township. That is just my opinion, though.
- 938 Mr. Ziganti: The watermark print, you know.
- 939 Ms. McCarthy: So then staying with that because as we go into this new stage, we want
- to bring the Zoning Commission, the Board of Trustees and the BZA all on the same
- page. So we started sharing the Zoning Commission agenda with the BZA. Or maybe
- we should wait until the draft is done.
- 943 Mr. Ziganti: They don't get drafts.
- Ms. McCarthy: The Zoning Commission would be very interested in what happened
- 945 here tonight.
- Mr. Ziganti: They get it in the final copy which should be less ambiguous because we
- have gone through and removed any kind of errors.
- 948 Mr. Pona: I think a reasonable distinction can be made between a Trustee and
- 949 appointed official.
- 950 Ms. McCarthy: Okay, I've got it.
- 951 Mr. Ziganti: So Board Members gets mad, Trustees get even. Okay, so guess what, we
- have more and we're not done. Number 7 was all about draft minutes. Next page. I feel
- like a North Carolina football player. All right, number 9 I have concerns. BZA secretary
- to record the revised and approved BZA minutes. The final recording to be sent to all
- 955 BZA members within 14 days. Actual time limit to be voted upon by the full BZA
- membership. This may be done by email to the members. I think this last point should
- 957 be struck.
- 958 Ms. McCarthy: What does he mean by record? Is there a sound recording?
- 959 Mr. Pona: No, I don't want that.
- 960 Mr. Ziganti: I would think the reference to "recording" means minutes uploaded to the

961 server.

- Ms. McCarthy: Then it shouldn't say recording, it should say uploaded.
- Mr. Ziganti: No, what Chuck is saying is we don't need an email of the final minutes. I
- 964 don't need that.
- 965 Cynthia: You can obtain it from the website.
- 966 Ms. McCarthy: Save paper.
- Mr. Ziganti: So the final recording means the record. I don't even know where that
- audible record goes. Now back to draft minutes and I forgot to mention this. Our present
- secretary does not maintain draft minutes as an electronic copy. I'm okay with that.
- 970 Ms. Kovach: May I speak?
- 971 Mr. Ziganti: That's the idea.
- Ms. Kovach: The audible goes to the server and it is there in perpetuity. The draft
- minutes I keep until we have the finished minutes. There is nothing worse than having
- two, three, four copies of the same document because it's called a cluster. I do the
- finished copy off of a draft copy and then I remove the line numbers and everything else
- and save it as BZA minutes blah blah blah. Another point, Bart, you will recall that a few
- years ago I used to put a watermark banner diagonally across the pages that said
- "draft" and you did not like that because you said it sometimes interfered with the
- 979 reading.
- 980 Mr. Ziganti: That was because it wasn't a watermark and it was too bold.
- 981 Ms. Kovach: No, it was a watermark and that is why I began indicating in the heading
- 982 "draft".
- 983 Mr. Ziganti: That is because if it is being distributed to the Trustees upon their request
- there won't be duplication handed out. It will always say draft across all of the verbiage.
- That is the importance there. I'm making that distinction since it is now leaving our
- control. I have a question. When minutes are approved they are sent to the appellant
- the following month. Where is that description of how that information is distributed and
- 988 to whom.
- 989 Ms. Kovach: I don't send minutes to the appellant. I send them an approval letter and to
- 990 the back is attached a copy of the Findings of Fact. Within the body of the letter it says
- "you will find the minutes on the website."
- 992 Mr. Ziganti: So where is there a description that says exactly what is supposed to be in
- there, that's what I'm asking. It should exist. So we are supposed to distribute the
- Findings of Fact to the person affected. Now today we had not only the property owner

- but we also had the architect. So are we required to send findings to both the
- ontractor and the property owner? I don't know. It should be written down. That was
- my comment. There should be something that we, the Board, get to look at, the Zoning
- Inspector gets to look at, the Trustees get to look at and say yes, this is good.
- 999 Ms. McCarthy: Before it's sent out.
- 1000 Mr. Ziganti: Just so that we have a process, that's all.
- Ms. McCarthy: There is something still on the table that you mentioned. You want to see
- the draft kept as a file and the final?
- 1003 Mr. Ziganti: No.
- 1004 Ms. McCarthy: Okay, I can get rid of the draft once it's final and approved?
- Mr. Ziganti: Right. Because the draft equals the audible record. Back to Mr. Joyce's
- letter. I am not sure what the "further" meant there. I think he was saying that if we
- review our meeting minutes and there are some ellipses (dot dot dot) for the property
- owner we can't fill in what they said.
- 1009 Mr. Pona: That is typical.
- Mr. Ziganti: That makes sense and I think that's what he's referring to here. Last, was
- something that we need to ask the Trustees for. We would like a laser pointer so that
- the appellant can point to something on the overhead screen that we are starting to use
- now. And it can be available to the Zoning Inspector. Maybe the Zoning Inspector can
- have her own color. I would suggest that it be her color. Then, Mr. Mazzurco, I hope I'm
- not overstepping myself but how about we discuss it?
- 1016 Ms. McCarthy: How about I help you start on that process.
- 1017 Mr. Ziganti: I didn't say it loud enough.
- 1018 Mrs. Fadorsen: How about an exhibit ink stamp?
- Mr. Ziganti: We don't need that. When Mr. Claypool was around he and I had a
- discussion about transcription software. Transcription software is pretty cheap and
- couldn't we try it to get a set of draft minutes that is 90%? It will happen automatically
- and then we go through and figure out where "there" and "their" need to be changed.
- Ms. Kovach: I haven't worked with that software since John Carroll but I don't think it
- 1024 can differentiate between voices.
- 1025 Ms. McCarthy: That is where we have to speak.

1026	Mr. Ziganti: That's where you're going to be adding your human touch.		
1027 1028	Ms. Kovach: That will be very effective. time. Right?	Like when I ask will you please speak one at a	
1029 1030 1031 1032	Mr. Ziganti: We spoke about draft minutes and then we did the review of Mr. Joyce's letter. All in all we're doing almost everything that was in Mr. Joyce's thoughts with some clarification on timelines for getting out the draft minutes, getting our files together and now we're asking to put something on the web. Anything else?		
1033 1034	There being no other business before the Board, Mr. Ziganti adjourned the meeting at 9:45 p.m.		
1035 1036 1037 1038		Approval Date March 10, 2022	
1039	Kathleen McCarthy, Zoning Admin.	Barton Ziganti, BZA Chairman	